

ELECTIONS, LLC

Attorneys at Law
Stefan Passantino

February 21, 2024

The Honorable Jamie Raskin
House Committee on Oversight and Accountability, Ranking Member
United States House of Representatives
2242 Rayburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Re: Tony Bobulinski

Dear Ranking Member Raskin:

I am in receipt of your correspondence of February 20, 2024, which appears to have been shared with ABC News in an attempt to smear and defame my client before it was transmitted to me. In his opening statement, my client Tony Bobulinski implored the members of the House Oversight Committee and the Committee on the Judiciary to set aside their partisan identities for one brief moment to focus on the facts Mr. Bobulinski is called upon to provide. You and your colleagues are utterly failing that test, failing to meet the moment, and failing the American people.


Mr. Bobulinski - a third-generation military officer - reluctantly came forward, with nothing to gain and everything to lose, out of a deep patriotic duty and a longstanding willingness to put his life on the line for America. He has been called upon to do so again. Perhaps this is difficult for you - or any other member of the House Oversight Committee who has not served in the military and been willing to die for the country - to understand, but I am shocked and disgusted that you or anyone else would question his motives, patriotism or sincerity when you dishonestly assert that Mr. Bobulinski "did not offer any evidence of wrongdoing by President Biden" or "provide any evidence that President Biden was involved in his family's business dealings".

You know this is false - and the American people can see for themselves that your allegations are false now that the transcript of Mr. Bobulinski's testimony has been released by the House Oversight Committee and the Committee on the Judiciary (<https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Bobulinski-Transcript.pdf>).

Once again, as I advised in my correspondence of February 14, these allegations are categorically untrue. From the now-publicly available transcript of Mr. Bobulinski's testimony of February 13, I can refer you to the specific testimony your correspondence conveniently ignores while you've been trying to deflect from the facts by focusing on absurdities such as the shirts Mr. Bobulinski wears or how much he paid to fly to Nashville, Tennessee for an October 2020 press conference. The American people are not fooled by your dishonest diversionary tactics.

With respect to your claim that Mr. Bobulinski did not offer evidence of wrongdoing, he clearly testified to the authenticity of communications in which Hunter Biden's business partners

introduced Mr. Bobulinski to a potential business transaction between the Chinese and “the Biden family”:



(Committee on Oversight and Accountability and Committee on the Judiciary, Transcribed Interview of Anthony Bobulinski, Ex.3 and discussed at p. 29-30, (Feb. 13, 2024) online at <https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Bobulinski-Transcript.pdf>).

MR. BOBULINSKI: So, when he sent this, I had a general -- he was actually in D.C. to attend the Vice President's Christmas party in December 2015. So I could just generally put the pieces of the puzzle together, and I was operating under the impression that he was talking about the Biden family.” (*Id.* at. 30)



(Committee on Oversight and Accountability and Committee on the Judiciary, Transcribed Interview of Anthony Bobulinski, Ex.4 and discussed at p. 30, (Feb. 13, 2024) online at <https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Bobulinski-Transcript.pdf>).

Mr. Bobulinski further testified that Hunter Biden boasted of Hunter Biden’s ability to circumvent then Vice President Biden’s “gatekeepers” to communicate directly with his father on important matters:

MR. BOBULINSKI: And one of the things that strikes me most about that conversation -- remember, I went through my military background, the fact that I held a Q security clearance, the highest security clearance issued by the Department of Energy -- and I'm

sitting with the son of the former Vice President of the United States. And he's sitting there telling me, as I ask him questions about his interaction with his father and his father's knowledge of this deal and other deals, and Hunter Biden was not shy about saying, "My father picks up the phone. I can call him from anywhere around the world. Do you want me to get him on the phone now?"

I didn't. But he would use that terminology.

...

And so I'm sitting with Hunter on the patio of the Chateau Marmont, and he's talking about the gatekeepers around his father. I think Kate --Bedingfield was one of them. He said, "Oh, she's the biggest challenge to me getting to my father. But he takes my phone calls any time of day, and I just take things to him." (*Id.* at 45-46).

Mr. Bobulinski also described his personal meetings with Joe Biden in vivid detail:

MR. BOBULINSKI: So it was Jim Biden, Hunter Biden, and myself sitting there, and his dad was running a little bit late. And so what I thought was sort of slightly odd at the time is Hunter and Jim started coaching me about the meeting.

Q: What do you mean?

A: They sort of coached that, "Hey, this is going to be a high-level meeting. We're not going to go into a lot of detail." Because, as you can tell based on all the documents and the communications and my background, I'm a fairly detail-focused individual.

So this is a first for me. I'm getting ready to meet the former Vice President of the United States. And I'm trying to understand, you know, okay, am I going to be drilling into, you know, CEFC? What are you -- what are we hearing? What are we talking about? What's the purpose of this?

And they sort of coached me to say, "We're not going to go into a lot of detail. We'll go into your background. My dad will talk about what he sort of chooses to talk about." And I sort of just made that note.

And then I saw Joe Biden -- well, I saw the security detail first, but Joe Biden was -- came across the lobby of the Beverly Hilton. And then Hunter says, "Hey, excuse me for a couple minutes, give me five to ten minutes. I need to read my dad in on things."

I don't remember the exact language he used, but it's crystal clear to me sort of the sort of statement and the intent of, "I need to go read my dad in on a couple things, and then we'll all meet." (*Id.* at 49).

MR. BOBULINSKI: And the only reason why he was meeting there, the only reason why I was there was because I was the CEO of SinoHawk, I was putting together this business,

February 21, 2024

Page 5

and I was a partner of the Biden family's [sic] in this operating business. There was no other reason for me to be, first, in that bar and meeting with him or him meeting with me."

...

MR. BOBULINSKI: I shook (Joe Biden's) hands, and we sat down. And I think the meeting was, you know, minutes to an hour. I remember going through my background in detail. I was very proud of it. I think he actually went first out of, you know, obviously, general respect at the time, and, you know, talked about some of the things they had dealt with as a family, their appreciation for the military, and stuff like that. And Jim and Hunter didn't do a lot of talking, as you can imagine. (*Id.* at 50- 51).

Mr. Bobulinski described this meeting twice during his transcribed interview:

MR. BOBULINSKI: It was 10 to 15 minutes I was with -- you know, I -- his team brought me in to sit at the head table at his Moonshot Address at the Milken Conference. Then they took me backstage when he was done, handshaking, walked him out to a car, general pleasantries because he was still putting together stuff. And I distinctly remember (Joe Biden) just saying, "Hey, you know, keep an eye on my brother and my son and thank you for what you're doing," that general kind of discussion.


Q: "Thank you for what you're doing" being a reference to your business partnership?

A: Yeah. That was the only thing I was doing at that point. That was the only reason why I was there. I mean, it wasn't, you know, "Hey, donate to the Democratic Party," or, "Hey, can we" -- it was -- the only reason why I was sitting with Joe Biden was because I was the CEO of SinoHawk and putting this business together. The only reason." (*Id.* at 271).

Significantly, Mr. Bobulinski did not limit his testimony to interactions with Joe and Hunter Biden. He also discussed in detail text communications and conversations he had with Joe Biden's brother, Jim Biden, about the Biden Family's modus operandi for business dealings around the world:

MR. BOBULINSKI: And I was asking him, "How are you doing it? It doesn't make any sense. Aren't you guys concerned that if Joe does run for President of the United States in the future that you guys are doing business directly with the Chinese?".... "My questions were focused on political headlines. "How are you guys doing this? It makes no sense to me. Why would you take this risk to yourself, to your family's brand that Hunter screams about, and all that stuff?" And (Jim Biden) looks at me and sort of chuckles and says, "Plausible deniability." (*Id.* p. 53).

Mr. Bobulinski also testified to WhatsApp messages from Hunter Biden to Mr. Bobulinski in which Hunter Biden directly refers to Joe Biden as his "Chairman":



MR. BOBULINSKI: And this is Hunter, who went, for lack of a better word, apeshit sideways over this, like, screaming in the phone and all this. He's saying, James's lawyers don't even agree with this; my father doesn't agree with this. Remember, he doesn't say "and the chairman of CEFC." (*Id.* p. 125-128).

February 21, 2024


Page 7

The discussion about Joe Biden's direct involvement continued:

Q: And Hunter Biden describes Joe Biden's involvement by saying that his chairman rejected the agreement that you had put forward. Is that correct?

A: Correct. Hunter Biden said, my father reviewed and gave it an emphatic -- he didn't just say, no, there are some questions. He said, my father reviewed it and gave an "emphatic NO" to what I was asking for, board governance, to just make sure that we were going to operate the business with transparency and the way it should be run. (*Id.* p. 136-137)

Mr. Bobulinski also testified to admonitions he received from the Biden business associates to never discuss Joe Biden's involvement in their business dealings:



February 21, 2024

Page 8

MR. BOBULINSKI: This was all a general discussion around, sort of, you know, SinoHawk, Oneida, Hunter's angry about this, he wants this change. And, you know, James Gilliar was trying to sort of act as a peacekeeper trying to balance things. And, sort of, here, he's talking about, you know, maybe giving H some, you know, ability to weigh in on something. And then he says, "Don't mention Joe being involved, it's only when u are face to face, I know u know that but they are paranoid." (*Id.* p. 134-135).

Mr. Bobulinski also testified that the Biden family business associates were very conscious that they were trading on the Biden name and influence to gain lucrative financial contracts around the world:

MR. BOBULINSKI: James Gilliar's position to me was, "Dude, I'm the one who sort of has been doing all the work, traveling around world, you know, presenting different deals, getting Hunter's input, using the Biden name in different countries, and stuff like that."

.....

"But how are you, Hunter Biden, involved in this with one of the largest companies in China? You know, you guys are telling me apparent ties to, you know, the government and all of this stuff." You could Google it and find, you know, different information references. "How are you even talking about this?"

And my questions were out of -- "I'm about to partner with you guys. I don't want you creating liability for me" -- which they did ultimately, hence me sitting here -- and the nonsense.

And so he was so adamant and empowered about how he could get his father on the phone at any time, the gatekeepers that were around his father just yielded to Hunter, if you needed to speak with his father, if you needed to see his father and stuff like that -- because I was asking the question.

In fact, you have communications where I would say, "You told me your father wouldn't want -- your father's lawyers said this to me -- wouldn't want you touching this with a hundred-foot poll" because, later, when he was off the rails fighting with me, I say to him, "You told me your father's lawyers wouldn't want you anywhere near this or the Biden family anywhere near this. We're near it now." (*Id.* p. 215-216).

And:

MR. BOBULINSKI: But, when I met with Gabriel Popoviciu, he was very vocal about the fact that he had stopped paying Robinson Walker when Joe Biden left the White House, and the reason why he had stopped paying them -- and the reason was because he viewed that he no longer had the power or the leverage of the Biden family to -- for what he was dealing with in Romania." (*Id.* p. 220).

February 21, 2024

Page 9

I would also note that while Mr. Bobulinski is not an attorney, he did clearly testify for personal knowledge as to his views on the significance of the conduct to which he was exposed:

MR. BOBULINSKI: Joe Biden's immediate family members were enriched to the tune of tens of millions of dollars from some of our most dangerous adversaries, including the Chinese Communist Party and players from Russia, Ukraine, Romania, Kazakhstan and other foreign nations and entities.

It is my educated belief dating back to Q security clearance that I held and Q clearance briefings I received in the Navy and continuing through recent discussions with experts, that under U.S. corruption laws, political office holders can be held as responsible as the immediate family members who are receiving money directly. This makes common sense and Americans understand this.

The facts we are going to discuss today appear to me to present disturbing evidence, which these committees should thoroughly investigate, with respect to possible violations by Joe Biden of the Foreign Agents Registration Act (22 USC 611), Anti-Corruption and Public Integrity statutes (18 USC 219), the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (18 USC 78dd-1), and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, known as RICO (18 USC 1961). (Committee on Oversight and Accountability and Committee on the Judiciary, Transcribed Interview of Anthony Bobulinski at p. 14, (Feb. 13, 2024) online at <https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Bobulinski-Transcript.pdf>).

With respect to your continued effort to impugn Mr. Bobulinski's character and statements about the FBI, the available transcript now reveals why I previously wrote that "As the transcript will show, Mr. Bobulinski did NOT accuse the FBI of lying about his voluntary statements before them in October, 2020", but rather "when Mr. Bobulinski was asked by the minority about second-hand accounts of his words rather than asking him direct questions, Mr. Bobulinski simply corrected errors in the FBI's internal 302 report about his statements":

MR. BOBULINSKI: No, I did not respond saying I took a test at the White House and tested negative. I was tested in Nashville, Tennessee, before I was able to walk in front of a room full of press. That's where I was tested. That's what I said to the FBI with my lawyer sitting right next to me. I've never been to the White House. I wasn't at the White House the next day. That's my testimony. The agent taking this transcript made a big, clear mistake. (*Id.* p. 59).

MR. BOBULINSKI: And when I read it, you can imagine my concern with numerous statements in here that are incorrect. And so my counsel took the ball at that point and handled it appropriately. I wish, for the sake of the American people, they had provided this to me shortly after getting it so I wouldn't be sitting here with you implying that I lied to the FBI. How absurd would it be for me to go in front of the FBI voluntarily -- voluntarily -- as close to that election, give them thousands of documents, pictures, text messages, and

February 21, 2024

Page 10

then lie to them about who I was with, what I did, what the Bidens did, what meetings I was in? (*Id.* p. 60-61).

MR. BOBULINSKI: Because I didn't. Because I didn't, Mr. Goldman. I walked in there voluntarily. And I can't speak to mistakes that the two agents took in their notes. So I would -- you talked about follow-up interviews. I would walk up in an interview of every FBI agent that was in that room that took my testimony that day, all of us under oath, walking through every one of these details. Okay? I wish that they had reached out to my lawyers. (*Id.* p. 64).

As we have previously advised, these errors could have been corrected years ago if Mr. Bobulinski had been shown the FBI's internal summary or if ANY government agency had reached out to us at the time to make Mr. Bobulinski available for further testimony or to further highlight the thousands of pieces of evidence he made available in 2020.

Your letter also goes to great lengths to attempt to portray Mr. Bobulinski as a "political person" in an effort to frame his motivations for coming forward as a substitute for analyzing the veracity of his testimony. In his sworn testimony, Mr. Bobulinski made clear why he was coming forward:

MR. BOBULINSKI: I am here today out of duty to God and country in a nonpartisan manner with only one party in mind, the party I served with honor and gratitude: the United States of America.

While I have made a few campaign contributions over the years to Democrats such as Congressman Ro Khanna, a member of the Oversight Committee, I am not a political person.

I come from a family with a long history of distinguished service in our nation's military. I grew up the son of a career Naval Officer, CDR Robert Bobulinski, whom I loved dearly. I could not be prouder of my father's long and distinguished service to our great nation.

His father, Alex Bobulinski, served our country in the Air Force for four years. I am also the grandson of Army Intelligence Officer, Col. Fred B. Keller Jr., who, for more than thirty-seven years, fearlessly defended the United States all over the world and served in three different wars.

My only brother, retired CDR Mike Bobulinski, is a twenty-eight year combat-serving Naval Flight Officer, and my only sister, Stacia Bobulinski, has spent the last eighteen years serving U.S. military veterans across the country through the Veterans Administration to demonstrate her and our family's gratitude to everyone who has risked their lives defending this country.

February 21, 2024

Page 11

I share my extence - I share my extensive U.S. military roots and background with you because they are the lens through which I view this exceptional country and my responsibility to it.

My deep commitment to America is also the reason I have elected to place myself and my family in the public eye to tell the truth before you today. That comes at a great cost to my privacy and to my personal security and that of my family, among other things.

However, I am happy to pay that cost. I am blessed to have been born and to grow up in the greatest country on earth. I take that seriously. Having been to over fifty countries around the world, I say that with the highest confidence.” (Committee on Oversight and Accountability and Committee on the Judiciary, Transcribed Interview of Anthony Bobulinski at p. 11-12, (Feb. 13, 2024) online at <https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Bobulinski-Transcript.pdf>).

It is also clear to me that your office was working with ABC News to release its story “*Raskin blasts GOP's top Biden impeachment witness Tony Bobulinski over interview with Oversight panel*” in conjunction with your correspondence to me. (https://abcnews.go.com/US/raskin-blasts-gops-top-biden-impeachment-witness-tony/story?id=107350891&cid=social_twitter_abcnp). This makes it especially concerning that a press article written in collaboration with your office would contain such glaring errors as “while working on the prospective Chinese joint venture, Bobulinski penned an email proposing a 10% cut for the ‘the big guy,’ a reference he said referred to Joe Biden” in order to reach an allegation that “[o]thers involved in the proposed venture have derided Bobulinski’s proposition as ‘not serious,’ and Walker has testified that nobody responded to Bobulinski’s email after he sent it.”

This is disconcerting because the “10 held by H for the big guy” email was marked as Exhibit 14 to Mr. Bobulinski’s transcribed interview and clearly reveals that it was Mr. Gilliar, not Mr. Bobulinski who wrote the email in question.

February 21, 2024
Page 12



Subject: Expectations
From: James Gilliar <[REDACTED]>
Date: 5/13/2017, 5:48 AM
To: Tony Bobulinski <[REDACTED]>
CC: Rob Walker <[REDACTED]>, Hunter <[REDACTED]>

Tony

As I had already alluded we have discussed and agreed the following remuneration packages

Chair / Vice Chair depending on agreement with CEFC (Hunter) 850
CEO (Tony) 850
James (EEIG) international consultancy agreement business development (James) 500,000
Rob 500
Jim Unknown
Sanan (Under EEIG agreement) 72,000

Hunter has some office expectations he will elaborate
James needs travel of 15,000 k a month (180,000 PA)

AS for roles I will continue with the International development in Oman, France, Belgium, Luxembourg act

We have found a UAE bank they want, Azerbaijan and Colombia, bringing expertise in for infrastructure programmes and relations with the big consultants for US

IN 2008 I had 80 bn of deliverable programmes under management in UAE

Personally I have already spent a large amount on this and I'm not in for the weekly pay, but as we have been shorted on the agreed package I feel this is right and reasonable

I am happy to raise any detail with Zang if there is shortfalls ?

At the moment there s a provisional agreement that the equity will be distributed as follows

20 H
20 RW
20JG
20 TB
10 Jim
10 held by H for the big guy ?

Any comments or disagreement lets table now ?

apologies for typos cant see shit

J

Equally disconcerting is everyone's blanket acceptance of Mr. Walker's testimony that "nobody responded to Bobulinski's email after he sent it" when numerous versions of the full email have been in the public domain for years, proving both that Mr. Walker's testimony is inaccurate and that none of the participants to the email correspondence ever questioned who "the big guy" to whom Mr. Gilliar was referring or whether Mr. Gilliar was serious in making the proposal:

February 21, 2024
Page 13

Subject: **Re: Expectations**

From: "Tony Bobulinski" TBobulinski@nazent.com
To: "Hunter" rhbdc@icloud.com
CC: "James Gilliar" james.gilliar@j2cr.com, "Rob Walker" rob.walker@j2cr.com
Date: 2017-05-16 17:26

Sounds good, we'll work through it

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

Original Message

From: Hunter

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 2:22 PM

To: Tony Bobulinski

Cc: James Gilliar; Rob Walker

Subject: Re: Expectations

It will all work Tony just trying to elaborate on certain existing pressures so we are all aware going in

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 16, 2017, at 3:44 PM, Tony Bobulinski <TBobulinski@nazent.com> wrote:

>

> H - we should all discuss so you are covered but you also have to be conscious of the moving parts and operating budgets and we have to pay a team of people who will be working 100 hours a week so we generate enough profits so we are distributing \$10s of MM out to the owners.

>

> I will be circulating the Terms for Oneida later today. In that I have included an additiona payment to you and I as sitting on the board of SinoHawk.

>

> We can all discuss.

>

> Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

> Original Message

> From: Robert Biden

> Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 10:20 AM

> To: James Gilliar

> Cc: Tony Bobulinski; Rob Walker

> Subject: Re: Expectations

>

>

> Final word on this (from me). I have been the only one asked to give up all other active commercial interests- no consulting fees no promoting another business no con tinting to work on existing projects etc...so I have to admit I do expect that if I can't keep my toe in other things I will need a hell of a lot more than 850 p/y on a monthly basis. And this is my own personal problem but ill make it yours also I'm sure (HA) -\$2M to me means \$1M before taxes the other half goes to her- 850 to me means 100K to me - she has a 750 floor. That does not include all he debt I assumed and not one dime for the girls (twoIvy league school living expenses and the most expensive highs cool in DC.

>

>

>> On May 13, 2017, at 5:48 AM, James Gilliar <james.gilliar@j2cr.com> wrote:

>>

>> Tony

>>

>> As I had already alluded we have discussed and agreed the following remuneration packages

>>

>> Chair / Vice Chair depending on agreement with CEFC (Hunter) 850

>> CEO (Tony) 850

>> James (EEIG) international consultancy agreement business development (James) 500,000

>> Rob 500

>> Jim Unknown

>> Sanan (Under EEIG agreement) 72,000

>>

>> Hunter has some office expectations he will elaborate

>> James needs travel of 15,000 k a month (180,000 PA)

>>

>> AS for roles I will continue with the International development in Oman, France, Belgium, Luxembourg act

>>

>> We have found a UAE bank they want, Azerbaijan and Colombia, bringing expertise in for infrastructure programmes and relations with the big consultants for US

>>

>> IN 2008 I had 80 bn of deliverable programmes under management in UAE

>>

>>

>> Personally I have already spent a large amount on this and I'm not in for the weekly pay, but as we have been shorted on the agreed package

>> I feel this is right and reasonable

>>

>> I am happy to raise any detail with Zang if there is shortfalls ?

>>

>>

>> At the moment there s a provisional agreement that the equity will be distributed as follows

>>

>> 20 H

>> 20 RH

>> 20JG

>> 20 TB

>> 10 Jim

>> 10 held by H for the big guy ?

>>

>> Any comments or disagreement lets table now ?

>>

>> apologies for typos cant see shit

>>

(See, e.g. https://bidenlaptopemails.com/email.php?id=20170516-172607_587). Perhaps your office and ABC News should spend more time researching such accusations before making them against Mr. Bobulinski.

In contrast, Mr. Bobulinski clearly testified that the parties to the email understood that Joe Biden was “the big guy”:

MR. BOBULINSKI: Then the distribution of the equity. Now, previously, we had gone through the actual documents that were signed for Oneida Holdings, and the bubble diagram was used to spell out the different ownership. But this shows 20 percent for H. H is Hunter Biden; 20 percent for RW is Rob Walker; 20 percent for JG is James Gilliar; 20 percent for TB, that's me, Tony Bobulinski; and then it says 10 for Jim, and that's Jim Biden. And then it says, "10 held for H for the big guy." The H in that message is Hunter Biden, and the big guy -- 100 percent -- is Joe Biden. (*Committee on Oversight and Accountability and Committee on the Judiciary, at 116*).

As lawyers and such well respected litigators don't you find it very odd that the same exact fact pattern that Ben Schreckinger outlines in his recent Special Report for POLITICO is the same as what my client testified to under oath with a completely separate company and transaction? (“*Biden’s brother used his name to promote a hospital chain. Then it collapsed.*”, POLITICO (Feb. 18, 2024) (online at <https://www.politico.com/news/2024/02/18/the-biden-name-how-the-presidents-brother-became-embroiled-in-a-hospital-fiasco-00141868>) (“The investigation also reveals that Joe Biden’s name and inner circle were more involved with the company than has been understood: In addition to the accounts provided by former executives, investor materials described Jim Biden as an adviser to his older brother. And on top of Joe Biden’s own previously reported encounter with the firm’s CEO, at least three of Joe Biden’s relatives did work with Americore. They include Jim Biden’s wife, Sara, and his son, Jamie. The president’s son, Hunter Biden also met with its CEO, and his personal doctor — current White House physician Kevin O’Connor — joined a meeting with Jim Biden and the president of a hospital being acquired by Americore, according to a former executive and emails obtained by POLITICO.”).

It is similarly surprising that you choose to besmirch Mr. Bobulinski through unquestioning acceptance of what Cassidy Hutchinson alleges “she saw with her own eyes”. Mr. Bobulinski clearly testified:

MR. BOBULINSKI: That Cassidy Hutchinson is a blatant liar. She never saw Mark Meadows give me anything, and her claims and use of that to perpetuate the sales of her book and go on all these, you know, medias to talk about is disgusting, okay? I spent 6 years serving this country. I was willing to die for this country. And for her to fabricate facts and then distribute them in a book and put them out to the American people is blatantly ridiculous. She's a liar. (*Id.* p. 261).

February 21, 2024

Page 15

We would be remiss if we did not address your ad hominem written and oral comments that the Bobulinski deposition was “chaotic” and “burlesque”. The only chaotic element in that deposition was the conduct of the Minority Members of Congress and their staff. They repeatedly interrupted, talked over, and attempted to shout down Mr. Bobulinski, and at times tried to stop him from fully answering questions. Beyond the range of the camera, they smirked, sneered and giggled, wagged fingers, and gesticulated wildly in anger as he gave his testimony. We are not the only ones dismayed by the Minority’s conduct, for the Chairman of the Committee himself apologized on the record for the “pathetic” display in that interview room. (*Id.* p. 277).

It would appear to me that if we are indeed interested in joining together to ensure the American public has the opportunity to judge the credibility and demeanor of all involved witnesses, we should join together to call upon Chairman Comer and Chairman Jordan to convene a live hearing, under oath, at which Mr. Bobulinski, the Biden family, Mr. Walker, and Mr. Gilliar are all present to answer the same questions on these matters of national importance.

Sincerely,

ELECTIONS, LLC

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Stefan Passantino', written in a cursive style.

Stefan Passantino

Cc: Chairman James Comer
Chairman Jim Jordan
Will Steakin, ABC News